Cosmological Galaxy Formation Simulations after Illustris: Introducing IllustrisTNG^(*)

ANNALISA PILLEPICH MPIA, Heidelberg

(*) TNG = The Next Generation

The TNG Team











Annalisa Pillepich

Rüdiger Pakmor

nor Dylaı



Dylan Nelson





Volker Springel

Lars Hernquist





Jill Naiman



Rainer Weinberger

Mark Vogelsberger





Paul Torrey

Annalisa Pillepich, Davos, 2017/02/16

The TNG Goals

To address all the physical model issues identified in Illustris galaxies and haloes
 To introduce new sub grid treatments, new physics, and numerical improvements
 To significantly expand the scope and include new diagnostic tools

A new effective galaxy-physics model with AREPO A new set of large volume cosmological simulations

this talk

The TNG Model

AREPO + Illustris Framework +

• Numerical improvements:

- Improved spatial gradients and time stepping
- New gravity solver with recursive Hamiltonian splitting
- Improved advection of the passive scalars (metal abundances)

• New physics and sub grid models:

- **MHD** (8-wave Powell divergence cleaning)
- New low-accretion BH feedback: pulsed kinetic BH-driven winds
- Refined galactic wind feedback
- Revised some stellar evolution choices and new yield tables

• Diagnostic tools:

- · On the fly cosmological shock finder
- Metal production tracking (all metals by SNIa, SNII, AGB + Fe by SNIa, SNII)
- Subgrid model for neutron-star mergers, as r-process material sources (Europium)
- (New Planck Cosmology)

Pakmor et al. 2016 Springel et al.

Weinberger et al. 2017

Schaal & Springel 2015, Schaal et al. 2016

Pakmor et al. 2011, Pakmor & Springel 2013; see Volker's talk

The TNG Model

Simulating galaxy formation with black hole driven thermal and kinetic feedback

Rainer Weinberger,^{1*} Volker Springel,^{1,2} Lars Hernquist,³ Annalisa Pillepich,^{3,4} Federico Marinacci,⁵ Rüdiger Pakmor,¹ Dylan Nelson,⁶ Shy Genel,⁷[†] Mark Vogelsberger,⁵ Jill Naiman³ and Paul Torrey^{5,8}[‡]

Simulating Galaxy Formation with the IllustrisTNG Model

Annalisa Pillepich^{1,2*}, Volker Springel^{3,4}, Dylan Nelson⁵, Shy Genel⁶, Jill Naiman², Rüdiger Pakmor³, Lars Hernquist², Paul Torrey⁷, Mark Vogelsberger⁷, Rainer Weinberger³, and Federico Marinacci⁷

Illustris and the overall approach

Illustris in one slide

AREPO Code 106.5 Mpc Cosmological Box Halo Mass Range: < 2x10¹⁴ Msun Res: 0.7/1.4 kpc, 1.3x10⁶/ 6.3x10⁶ Msun



Vogelsberger et al. 2014a,b, Genel et al. 2014, Sijiacki et al. 2015





The Illustris Galaxy Physics Model



The overall model is based on choices *inspired* by observations and by other, more detailed, "ab initio" theoretical studies of individual processes

It necessarily entails a subgrid treatment of phenomena acting on scales smaller than 10-1000 parsec, and hence many choices in the numerical implementation and free parameters

***** TNG modifications

The overarching Idea

WORKING ASSUMPTION: LCDM as Cosmological framework => hierarchical growth of structure

- **1**. We are after an *effective* theory for galaxy formation that functions across the widest possible ranges of masses, redshifts, assembly histories, environments
- 2. We want not just to form galaxies, but also to take into account the effects of the hierarchical growth of structure (i.e. mergers, accretion, etc)
- **3.** Once we get the "average" galaxy population, we want to use the simulation as exploration tool, e.g. to understand the physical origin of the galaxy-to-galaxy variations (the scatter) and the mechanisms behind the diverse galaxy pathways
- **4.** A few observational constraints are used to *calibrate* the model, e.g. SFRD and/or the galaxy stellar mass function at z=0
- **5.** To do so, we must simulate **thousands or tens of thousands of galaxies** => cosmological volumes with > tens Mpc side and sub grid prescriptions
- 6. Any *other* simulation outcome can be considered a **prediction**, **a gift** of the simulation: all of those, collectively, must be contrasted to observations to **validate** (or not) the model.

Examples of predictions/gifts/applications: on galaxy quenching

Thousands of galaxies are needed to validate quenching theories



Examples of predictions/gifts/applications: on quenching in clusters

Hundreds of satellite galaxies are needed to understand quenching mechanisms in massive haloes, and those massive haloes need to exhibit sensible properties



Mistani, Sales, Pillepich et al. 2016

Examples of predictions/gifts/applications: on stellar growth by mergers

Thousands of galaxies are needed to predict the average fraction of stars accreted via hierarchical growth or the impact of mergers on morphologies



Pillepich et al. 2014 Rodriguez-Gomez, Pillepich, et al. 2016 Rodriguez-Gomez, Sales, et al. 2016

Examples of predictions/gifts/applications: effects of baryons on DM?

How robust are gravity-only predictions in the presence of galaxies and gas accretion and outflows?



Chua, Pillepich, et al. 2017

Examples of predictions/gifts/applications: DM disks? Ex-situ disks!

How often do we get DM co rotating on the stellar disk plane? And what about accreted stars?



Pillepich et al. 2014, 2015

Towards IllustrisTNG

Illustris Limitations, i.e. issues identified in the Illustris galaxies



The TNG strategy

Phenomenology: All issues identified above are related to inefficient SF suppression and inadequate feedback mechanisms **Direction**: Modification or complete revisions of the feedback prescriptions, both at the low and high mass end

Procedure:

- We have identified the Illustris issues to solve
- We have made educated guesses as to how to modify the feedback prescriptions in order to solve such issues
- We have simulated the Illustris model and TNG variations on the same cosmological volumes and zooms
- We have retained the implementation that -in comparison to Illustris- exhibits better promises to solve the identified problems

Focus/Calibration Observables:

stellar content of galaxy population halo gas fraction BH mass relation

Note: not an actual chi^2-based calibration against observational constraints, but relative comparison to Illustris outcome. Complications: resolution, sample variance, need for careful mocks of the sims



On the Galactic Winds

Galactic Winds Implementation

Phenomenology of the issue: Illustris galactic winds are not effective enough at high redshifts and in small galaxies (haloes $< 10^{12}$ Msun)



TNG Solution: Overall faster winds at all masses and redshifts, energy of the winds relatively larger in low mass systems in comparison to MW-like haloes

See also Schaye et al. 2015, Henriques et al. 2012,

Mesh in a disk (V. Springel)

wind particles are spawned from sf-

Galactic Winds Implementation: e.g. on Directionality



Galactic Winds Implementation: velocity and energy at injection



At injection: faster winds with a minimum at 350 km/s; lower wind energy for winds spawning from high metallicity gas

=> more energetic and faster gas outflows in the CGM i.e. more effective galactic winds at preventing SF at high redshifts and in small galaxies (relatively to MW-like galaxies)

The desired outcome of TNG galactic winds

[L12.5n512 box]



Annalisa Pillepich, Davos, 2017/02/16



The desired outcome of TNG galactic winds

The IllustrisTNG Model

Annalisa Pillepich, Davos, 2017/02/16

On the AGN feedback

BH Feedback Implementation

Phenomenology of the issue: Illustris BH feedback is too violent, removes all the gas from the halo and yet does not quench the central galaxies

		BHs and BH Feedback	
JSTRIS	$1 \times 10^5 M_{\odot} h^{-1}$ $5 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot} h^{-1}$ $\alpha = 100$ Boosted Bondi-Hoyle parent gas cell, Eddington limited fixed to halo potential minimum	BH Seed Mass FoF Halo Mass for BH seeding BH Accretion BH Accretion BH Positioning	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \times 10^5 \mathrm{M_{\odot}h^{-1}} \\ 5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M_{\odot}h^{-1}} \\ \mathrm{Un-boosted Bondi-Hoyle} (w/v_{\mathrm{A}}) \\ \mathrm{nearby \ cells, \ Eddington \ limited} \\ \mathrm{fixed \ to \ halo \ potential \ minimum} \end{array}$
	Two: "Quasar/Radio" Thermal Injection around BHs Thermal 'Bubbles' in the ICM constant: 0.2	BH Feedback Modes High-Accr-Rate Feedback Low-Accr-Rate Feedback Low/High Accretion Transition: χ	Two: "High/Low Accretion State" Thermal Injection around BHs BH-driven kinetic wind BH-mass dependent, ≤ 0.1





The IllustrisTNG Model

BH

100 kpc

Annalisa Pillepich, Davos, 2017/02/16

Illustris

 $\frac{Thermal\ feedback}{inflates\ one\ large,\ hot\ bubbles\ every} \\ time\ \delta M_{BH}\ is\ above\ a\ threshold$



Kinetic feedback kicks in random directions to neighboring gas cells





 $\frac{Thermal\ feedback}{Inflates\ as\ many\ small,\ hot\ bubbles\ as}$ needed, when δM_{BH} is above a threshold



Illustris

 $\frac{Thermal\ feedback}{inflates\ one\ large,\ hot\ bubbles\ every} \\ time\ \delta M_{BH}\ is\ above\ a\ threshold$



Kinetic feedback kicks in random directions to neighboring gas cells





 $\frac{Thermal\ feedback}{Inflates\ as\ many\ small,\ hot\ bubbles\ as}$ needed, when δM_{BH} is above a threshold



The differences in the AGN feedback mechanisms appear more and more manifest towards low masses



Credits: C. Popa

The differences in the AGN feedback mechanisms become more and more manifest towards low masses

Non-Radiative Illustris **Illustris-TNG** Auriga Gas Density [Msun/pc^2] 10-1 Gas Density 1 Mpc mperature (mw) Gas Temperature

Credits: C. Popa

The differences in the AGN feedback mechanisms become more and more manifest towards low masses



Credits: C. Popa

The differences in the AGN feedback mechanisms become more and more manifest towards low masses

Non-Radiative Illustris **Illustris-TNG** Auriga Gas Density [Msun/pc^2] 10^{-1} 10 Gas Density 1 Mpc mperature (mw) [k Gas Temperature 1 M m

Credits: C. Popa

The differences in the AGN feedback mechanisms become more and more manifest towards low masses

Illustris-TNG Non-Radiative Illustris Auriga Gas Density [Msun/pc^2] 10^{-1} 1 Mpc emperature (mw) [k] 1 Mpc

Gas Density

Gas Temperature

Credits: C. Popa

The differences in the AGN feedback mechanisms become more and more manifest towards low masses



Credits: C. Popa

The desired outcome of TNG BH kinetic feedback



In the Illustris model, clusters are devoid of gas (< 10¹⁴ Msun) Not anymore in TNG

Credits: C. Popa, A. Pillepich et al.



In the Illustris model, massive galaxies were too blue compared to SDSS. The BH kinetic feedback makes them red

Weinberger, Springel, et al. 2017

Conclusions and Looking ahead

The TNG Goals

improved by the BHdriven winds



1.Too high Cosmic SFRD at z<1

improved by minimum wind velocity and Zdependence

- 2.Too high galaxy stellar mass function at z=0 at the low & high mass ends 3.Too extended stellar sizes for galaxies < 10^10 Msun
 - 4.(Spurious ring-like features at z=0)
 - 5. Too low halo gas fractions with R500 in haloes > 10^13.5 Msun
 - 6.Not well enhanced galaxy color bimodality

2. To introduce new sub grid treatments, new physics, and numerical improvements

3. To significantly expand the scope and include new diagnostic tools



The TNG Simulations

Three flagship volumes, with:

- Statistical sampling of massive clusters
- Starting to resolve the dwarf regime
- 'TNG model' invariant across all runs
- Updated Cosmology
- Including MHD
- Tracking metals from individual channels
- Identifying shocks
- Assessing model systematics

TNG100







The TNG Simulations

Run Name		TNG100	TNG300
		L75n1820TNG	L205n2500TNG
Volume	$\left[(\mathrm{Mpc})^3 ight]$	110.7^{3}	302.6^{3}
$L_{\rm box}$	$[{ m Mpc}/h]$	75	205
$N_{\rm GAS}$		1820^{3}	2500^{3}
$N_{\rm DM}$		1820^{3}	2500^{3}
N_{TRACER}	-	2×1820^3	1×2500^3
	$[M_{\odot}]$		1.1×10^7
$m_{ m DM}$	$[{ m M}_{\odot}]$	7.5×10^6	5.9×10^7
$\epsilon_{ m gas,min}$	$[\mathrm{kpc}/h]$	0.125	0.25
$\epsilon_{\rm DM, stars}^{z=0}$	$[\mathrm{kpc}]$	0.74	1.48
$\epsilon_{\rm DM, stars}$	$[\mathrm{kpc}/h]$	$1.0 \rightarrow 0.5$	2.0 -> 1.0

<u>-NG30</u>





Credits: D. Nelson+A.Pillepich

The TNG Simulations: on galaxy colours and the green valley



Nelson et al. in prep

The TNG Simulations: on B fields and radio haloes



Marinacci et al. in prep

The TNG Simulations: on the assembly and properties of the ICL



Pillepich et al. in prep

The TNG Simulations: on the enrichment of the IGM

Gas Density Gas Temperature Gas Metallicity fiducial TNG Gas Density z = 0fiducial Illustris Gas Density z = 0 cial Illustris 35 Mpc

Illustris

TNG

